

Daniel Brassard

Brassard Sugarworks and Maple Supply LLC

Motion to reconsider

I am asking the court to reconsider its ruling made on March 1, 2025 in case no. 23-CV-02848. I made three different filings on February 28, 2025, by 7:30pm and confirmed with court staff that they were indeed received to meet the deadline of February 28, 2025 by midnight. These filings did not get docketed until after the ruling was made. I am Pro Se and do not Efile these documents. They were all emailed to the court and to the parties of this case. After communicating with a clerk from the courthouse I was told I had 10 days from the time the plaintiff filed their objection see attached email, upon further review of what's below I believe I had 14 days not 10.


Memorandum in Opposition. Any party opposed to the granting of a written dispositive motion, including a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56, shall file a memorandum in opposition thereto not more than 30 days after service of the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court. A memorandum in opposition to any nondispositive motion shall be filed not more than 14 days after service of the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court. Any party may file a reply to a memorandum in opposition, including a memorandum in opposition to a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56(b), within 14 days after service of the memorandum. The court may also allow a surreply memorandum if the memorandum would assist in clarifying the issues, particularly where the party seeking to file the memorandum is addressing newly raised factual or legal arguments by the opposing party.

Along with my motion to reconsider I am asking the court to excuse me from the Hearing on March 24, 2025, at 11am as I have complied with the plaintiff's discovery requests and submitted them on February 28, 2025.

FILED
VERMONT SUPERIOR COURT

MAR -5 2025

ORANGE UNIT


3-5-25



Dan Brassard <brassardsugarworks@gmail.com>

Dk# 23-CV-02848

Orange Unit <OrangeUnit@vtcourts.gov>
To: Dan Brassard <brassardsugarworks@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 5, 2025 at 10:01 AM

Good morning,

After review and discussion with the Civil Clerk, the case was handled appropriately and timely by the clerk. A motion to have request for admission deemed admitted was filed by you on 2/14/24, there is a 14-day window for the other party to respond, however, it was filed expeditiously on 2/14/24, which closes the 14 days and initiates a new 10 day period for which you have to reply to the response to your motion. That 10 days expired on 2/24/24, the clerk did not give the file to the Judge for review until 2/27, which gave you the benefit of having 3 additional days for response. Your response was filed on 2/28 at 7:38PM, the court closes at 4:30PM, however the Rules of electronic filing require us to mark your filings as filed on 2/28 upon review on the next business day, which the clerk did.

Judge Richardson created and signed his order on this motion on Saturday, March 01, 2024.

If you disagree with anything he wrote or take issue with how things were processed, you are free to file a motion to reconsider for the Judge to address.

Thank you,

Samantha Spinella, Court Operations Manager

Vermont Superior Court

Orange Unit

5 Court Street

Chelsea, VT 05038

802-685-4610

FILED
VERMONT SUPERIOR COURT

MAR -5 2025

ORANGE UNIT

"When given the choice between being right or being kind, choose kind." - Dr. Wayne W. Dyer

***** CONFIDENTIAL ***** This email message and related attachments may contain personal and/or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, do not disseminate, distribute or copy this email message or any attachments. Please immediately notify itsupport.vtcourts.gov and permanently delete this email message and any attachments from your computer. For all other assistance, please contact the court.

[Quoted text hidden]